



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,890	02/09/2004	Eugene A. Fitzgerald	ASC-049C1	8754
21323 7	590 06/25/2004	EXAMINER		
,	RWITZ & THIBEAU	TRAN, MAI HUONG C		
HIGH STREE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02110	2818		

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AN		
Office Action Summary		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
		10/774,890		FITZERGALD, EUGENE A.			
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		Mai-Huong T		2818			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on th co	v rsh et with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the peri	136(a). In no event, I ly within the statutory will apply and will ex e, cause the applicati	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day: pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u>	ebruary 2004.					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 32-78 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 32-78 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accep drawing(s) be hetion is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been r its have been r prity document au (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applicati s have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	,	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 32-78 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,661. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/774,890

Art Unit: 2818

distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is

Page 3

fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the

application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A method comprising the

steps of providing a substrate; and providing a strained layer, the strained layer having an

average surface roughness of less than 2 nm.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0956.

Mai-Huong Tran

David Nelms

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800